

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/758,130	01/16/2004	Masashi Otsuki	Q79054	5017	
23373	7590 10/05/2004	*	EXAM	INER	
	MION, PLLC YLVANIA AVENUE, N.W	NGUYEN, NGOC YEN M			
SUITE 800	12.71.111111111102,71.11	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037		1754		
		•	DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004	I	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				-1X
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	10)
	Office Action Summary	10/758,130	OTSUKI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen	1754	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	ress
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO msions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute. Cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this com	nmunication.
Status				
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	Maril 2002 and 21 Santamb	or 2004	- '
		his action is non-final.	<u> 31 2004</u> .	
3)	/		ore proceeding as to the	
-/ب	closed in accordance with the practice under			nents is
		i Lx parte Quayle, 1955 C.D	. 11, 455 O.G. 215.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 14-18 is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 14-18 is/are rejected.		•	
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
	on Papers	·		
	The specification is objected to by the Exami		•	•
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)[]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO	-152.
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreio ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<i>∞/٤</i>	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received		
			unlication No. 40750466	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International Bure 		eceived in this National St	age
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a li		o o o i vo d	
,	oo inc attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not r	eceivea.	
Attachment	(s)			
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	
i) 🔼 Inform Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5)	ormal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)
. Patent and Tra		o) Other	-	
OL-326 (Re		Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date	10012004

Application/Control Number: 10/758,130

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over JP 07-157307.

JP '307 discloses a process for producing high purity beta-type silicon carbide powder (note title). The organic compound used for producing the silicon carbide powder can be phenol resin, a nitrile resin, furan resin, polyimide resin, styrene resin, xylene resin, polyphenylene oxide, etc. (note paragraph [0090]). When the organic compound used is not phenol type resin, the product of JP '307 would inherently have low nitrogen content as required by the claimed invention.

The mean particle size of the silicon carbide product can be 185 microns (note Example A1.

The product of JP '307 anticipates the claimed product.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,130

Art Unit: 1754

Alternatively, the process limitations in the instant claims are noted. However, when the examiner has found a substantially similar product as in the applied prior art, the burden of proof is shifted to applicant to establish that their product is patentably distinct and not the examiner to show the same process of making. *In re Brown*, 173 USPQ 685 and *In re Fessmann*, 180 USPQ 324.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP '307. JP '307 discloses a product as stated above.

The difference is JP '307 does not disclose the particle size distribution.

However, the silicon carbide powder of JP '307 is used for the production of silicon carbide single crystals (note title).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the particle size distribution for the product of JP '307 in order to obtain the most suitable starting material for making single crystal silicon carbide.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1356. The examiner is currently on Part time schedule.

Application/Control Number: 10/758,130

Art Unit: 1754

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Stan Silverman can be reached on (571) 272-1358. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed (571) 272-1700.

Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1754

nmn

October 1, 2004